## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. <b>5:23-cv-01450-MCS-PVC</b>		0-MCS-PVC		Date	April 8, 2024
Title Tolbert v. Rocket Mortg., LLC					
Present: The Honorable Mark C. Scars			i, United States District Judge		
Stephen Montes Kerr			Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):			Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):		
None Present			None Present		

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL AND MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION (ECF Nos. 54, 65) (JS-6)

At the hearing on Plaintiff's motion for class certification, the parties represented that they had reached a settlement in principle. (Mins., ECF No. 64.) The parties filed a request to voluntarily dismiss this action without prejudice so that the case may be settled alongside similar cases pending in state court. The parties submit that the Court need not give notice of the dismissal to the putative class. (Req., ECF No. 65.)

The Court agrees. Notwithstanding some uncertainty among the district courts over the issue, the Court determines that notice need not be given before a precertification dismissal of class claims. See Dougan v. Centerplate, Inc., No. 22-CV-1496 JLS (SBC), \_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 221273, at \*5–10 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2023) (discussing effect of 2003 amendments to Rule 23(e) and determining the rule no longer requires court review of pre-certification voluntary dismissals in class actions). Accordingly, the Court determines that the parties' request for dismissal is self-executing. See Com. Space Mgmt. Co., Inc. v. Boeing Co., Inc., 193 F.3d 1074, 1078 (9th Cir. 1999); Licea v. Adidas Am., Inc., No. 5:22-cv-02077-FWS-SP, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84299, at \*4–5 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 22, 2023).

The Court directs the Clerk to terminate the motion for class certification and the request for dismissal. The Clerk shall close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.